

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/047,950	01/15/2002	Henry F. McIntyre	9D-EC-19976/064853-040	4820
29391	7590 06/25/2004		EXAM	INER
	ROWNLEE WOLTER	O CONNOR, GERALD J		
390 NORTH SUITE 2500	ORANGE AVENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ORLANDO,	FL 32801		3627	

DATE MAILED: 06/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

MG	J
MY	J

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/047,950

McIntyre et al.

Examiner

Office Action Summary

O'Connor

Art Unit **3627**



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
	or Reply	
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET	TO EXPIRE <u>three</u> MONTH(S) FROM
	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be evailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
•	date of this communication. eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the	ne statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO p		and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Any re	ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of t	· ·
earned Status	patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	
1)		·
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	ion is non-final.
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance ϵ closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	tion of Claims	
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-27</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4	a) Of the above, claim(s) none	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗌	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-27	is/are rejected.
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applica	tion Papers	
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)💢	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) 💢 accepted or b) 🗆 objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.
12)💢	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13)□	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pa	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) □] All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:	
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received.
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received in Application No
;	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority de application from the International Bure.	ocuments have been received in this National Stage
*Se	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
a) [The translation of the foreign language provisiona	I application has been received.
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachm		_
	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
at [X] lute	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)4	6) Other:

Application: 10/047,950 Paper No. 5

Art Unit: 3627 Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Oath/Declaration

1. The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because it does not *correctly* identify the specification to which the oath or declaration is directed. See MPEP § 601.01(a).

Claim Objections

2. Claim 13 is objected to because of the following informality: it appears that "claim 2" (line 1) was intended to be --claim 12-- (based on applicant's otherwise correct numbering of the entirety of the claims), which change will be assumed for purposes of further consideration of the claim, hereinbelow. Appropriate correction (or clarification) is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

در

Application: 10/047,950 Paper No. 5

Art Unit: 3627 Page 3

4. Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art, as described in the written description of the specification.

As described by applicant in the written specification, the instant invention is merely a method of using conventional, well known computer equipment in order to implement and effect an automated method for accomplishing the same well known results as had heretofore been accomplished via manual means (such as those described, for example, in the Background of the Invention, on pages 1-3 of the written specification).

As such, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have modified the conventional manual method of managing information pertaining to the transport of a product between a point of origin and a point of destination, so as to make use of well known, conventional equipment, including barcodes, barcode scanners, and various computers loaded with appropriate software, the barcode scanners and other computers being any of portable, non-portable, or handheld, in order to derive the claimed features of the instant invention, the motivation to make the modifications being simply to improve the efficiency of the information management process pertaining to the transport of a product between a point of origin and a point of destination by reducing the amount of manual effort required, since so doing could be performed readily and easily by any person of ordinary skill in the art, with neither undue experimentation, nor risk of unexpected results, and since it has been held that simply providing a mechanical or automatic means to replace manual activity which has accomplished the same result involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Venner*, 120 USPQ 192.

Application: 10/047,950 Paper No. 5

Art Unit: 3627 Page 4

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to the disclosure.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication, or earlier communications, should be directed to the examiner, **Jerry O'Connor**, whose telephone number is **(703)** 305-1525, and whose facsimile number is **(703)** 746-3976.

The examiner can normally be reached weekdays from 9:30 to 6:00.

Inquiries of a general nature or simply relating to the status of the application should be directed to the receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Robert Olszewski, can be reached at (703) 308-5183.

Official replies to this Office action may be submitted by any *one* of fax, mail, or hand delivery. **Faxed replies are preferred and should be directed to (703) 872-9306** (fax-back auto-reply receipt service provided). Mailed replies should be addressed to "Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450." Hand delivered replies should be left with the receptionist on the seventh floor of Crystal Park Five, 2451 Crystal Dr, Arlington, VA 22202.

GJOC

March 19, 2004

(3-19-04)

Gerald J. O'Connor Patent Examiner Group Art Unit 3627